

A REMARK ON SEPARATE HOLOMORPHY

MAREK JARNICKI AND PETER PFLUG

ABSTRACT. Let X be a Riemann domain over $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$. If X is domain of holomorphy with respect to a family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$, then there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ such that every slice X_a of X with $a \notin P$ is a domain of holomorphy with respect to the family $\{f|_{X_a} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

1. INTRODUCTION – RIEMANN DOMAINS OF HOLOMORPHY

Let (X, p) be a *Riemann domain over* $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e. X is an n -dimensional complex manifold and $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is a locally biholomorphic mapping (see [Jar-Pfl 2000] for details). We say that two Riemann domains (X, p) and (Y, q) over $\mathbb{C}^n$ are *isomorphic* (shortly, $(X, p) \simeq (Y, q)$) if there exists a biholomorphic mapping $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $q \circ \varphi = p$. Isomorphic Riemann domains will be always identified.

We say that an open set $U \subset X$ is *univalent* (*schlicht*) if $p|_U$ is injective. Note that the whole domain X is univalent iff $(X, p) \simeq (\Omega, \text{id}_\Omega)$, where Ω is an open set in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ ($\mathbb{Z}_+$ stands for the set of non-negative integers) and $x_0 \in X$, let $D^\alpha f(x_0)$ denote the α -*partial derivative of f at x_0* ,

$$D^\alpha f(x_0) := D^\alpha (f \circ (p|_U)^{-1})(p(x_0)),$$

where U is an open univalent neighborhood of x_0 and D^α on the right hand side means the standard α -partial derivative operator in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $T_{x_0} f$ denote the *Taylor series of f at x_0* , i.e. the formal power series

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha f(x_0) (z - p(x_0))^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

For $x_0 \in X$ and $0 < r \leq +\infty$ let $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)$ denote an open univalent neighborhood of x_0 such that $p(\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)) = \mathbb{P}(p(x_0), r)$ = the polydisc with center at $p(x_0)$ and radius r . Let $d_X(x_0)$ denote the maximal r such that $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)$ exists. Put $\mathbb{P}_X(x_0) := \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, d_X(x_0))$.

For $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $x_0 \in X$, let $d(T_{x_0} f)$ denote the *radius of convergence of $T_{x_0} f$* , i.e.

$$d(T_{x_0} f) := \sup\{r > 0 : \text{the series } T_{x_0} f \text{ is convergent in } \mathbb{P}(p(x_0), r)\}.$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32D05, 32D25.

Key words and phrases. domain of holomorphy, pluripolar set.

The research was supported by DFG grant no. 227/8-1 and was a part of the Research Grant No. 1 PO3A 005 28, which is supported by public means in the programme promoting science in Poland in the years 2005-2008.

Obviously, $d(T_{x_0}f) \geq d_X(x_0)$ and $f(x) = T_{x_0}f(p(x))$, $x \in \mathbb{P}_X(x_0)$. Notice that

$$1/d(T_{x_0}f) = \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n: |\alpha|=\nu} \frac{1}{\alpha!} |D^\alpha f(x_0)| \right)^{1/\nu}.$$

Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$. We say that (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of existence if

$$d_X(x) = \inf\{d(T_x f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}, \quad x \in X.$$

We say that an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of existence (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy if $\mathcal{F}$ weakly separates points in X , i.e. for any $x', x'' \in X$, with $x' \neq x''$ and $p(x') = p(x'')$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$ (as formal power series).

From now on we assume that all considered Riemann domains are countable at infinity.

Remark 1.1 (Properties of domains of holomorphy). (a) Let (X, p) be an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy and let $U \subset X$ be a univalent domain for which there exists a domain $V \supset p(U)$ such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists a function $F_f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ such that $F_f = f \circ (p|_U)^{-1}$ on $p(U)$. Then there exists a univalent domain $W \supset U$ with $p(W) = V$.

Indeed, we only need to observe that we may always assume that (X, p) is realized as a subdomain of the sheaf of $\mathcal{F}$ -germs of holomorphic functions (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000], proof of Theorem 1.8.4) and, consequently, we may put

$$W := \{[(D, (F_f)_{f \in \mathcal{F}})]_{\tilde{z}} : z \in V\}$$

(cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000], Example 1.6.6).

(b) ([Jar-Pfl 2000], Proposition 1.8.10) Let $A \subset X$ be a dense subset such that $A = p^{-1}(p(A))$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy;
- (ii) $d_X(x) = \inf\{d(T_x f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$, $x \in A$, and for any $x', x'' \in A$, with $x' \neq x''$ and $p(x') = p(x'')$, there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$.
- (c) If (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy, then there exists a finite or countable subfamily $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}_0$ -domain of holomorphy.

Indeed, we may assume that X is connected. The case where $(X, p) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^n, \text{id}_{\mathbb{C}^n})$ is trivial. Thus assume that $d_X(x) < +\infty$, $x \in X$. Let $A \subset X$ be a countable dense subset such that $A = p^{-1}(p(A))$. By (b), for any $x \in A$ and $r > d_X(x)$ there exists an $f_{x,r} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $d(T_x f_{x,r}) < r$, and for $x', x'' \in A$, with $x' \neq x''$ and $p(x') = p(x'')$, there exists an $f_{x',x''} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f_{x',x''} \neq T_{x''}f_{x',x''}$. Now, we may take

$$\mathcal{F}_0 := \{f_{x,r} : x \in A, \mathbb{Q} \ni r > d_X(x)\} \cup \{f_{x',x''} : x', x'' \in A, x' \neq x'', p(x') = p(x'')\}.$$

2. MAIN RESULTS – SEPARATE HOLOMORPHY

Let (X, p) be a connected Riemann domain over $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$,

$$p = (u, v) : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^\ell.$$

Put $D := p(X)$, $D_k := u(X)$, $D^\ell := v(X)$. For $a \in D_k$ define $X_a := u^{-1}(a)$, $p_a := v|_{X_a}$. Similarly, for $b \in D^\ell$, put $X^b := v^{-1}(b)$, $p^b := u|_{X^b}$.

Remark 2.1. For every $a \in D_k$, (X_a, p_a) is a countable at infinity Riemann domain over $\mathbb{C}^\ell$.

Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$. For $a \in D_k$ define $f_a := f|_{X_a}$, $\mathcal{F}_a := \{f_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \mathcal{O}(X_a)$, and analogously, $f^b := f|_{X^b}$, $\mathcal{F}^b := \{f^b : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \subset \mathcal{O}(X^b)$, $b \in D^\ell$.

The main result of the paper is the following

Theorem 2.2. (a) *Let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(X)$ and assume that (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy. Then there exists a pluripolar set $S_k \subset D_k$ such that for every $a \in D_k \setminus S_k$, (X_a, p_a) is an $\mathcal{F}_a$ -domain of holomorphy.*

(b) *Assume that $(X, p) \simeq (D, \text{id}_D)$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ is a fat domain (i.e. $D = \text{int } \overline{D}$) and there exist sets $S_k \subset D_k$, $S^\ell \subset D^\ell$ such that:*

- $\text{int } S_k = \emptyset$, $\text{int } S^\ell = \emptyset$,
- for any $a \in D_k \setminus S_k$, D_a is an $\mathcal{F}_a$ -domain of holomorphy,
- for any $b \in D^\ell \setminus S^\ell$, D^b is an $\mathcal{F}^b$ -domain of holomorphy.

Then D is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy.

Proof. (a) By Remark 1.1(c), we may assume that $\mathcal{F}$ is finite or countable.

Step 1. *There exists a pluripolar set $P \subset D_k$ such that for any $a \in D_k \setminus P$, (X_a, p_a) is an $\mathcal{F}_a$ -domain of existence.*

Define $R_{f,b}(x) := d(T_x f u(x))$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $b \in D^\ell$, $x \in X^b$. Recall that

$$1/R_{f,b}(x) = \limsup_{\nu \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\max_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell: |\beta|=\nu} \frac{1}{\beta!} |D^{(0,\beta)} f(x)| \right)^{1/\nu}, \quad x \in X^b.$$

Obviously, $R_{f,b}(x) \geq d_X(x)$, $x \in X^b$. By the Cauchy inequalities, we get

$$\frac{1}{\beta!} |D^{(0,\beta)} f(x)| \leq \frac{\sup_{\mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r)} |f|}{r^{|\beta|}}, \quad 0 < r < d_X(x_0), \quad x \in \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, r/2), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^\ell.$$

Consequently, the function $-\log(R_{f,b})_*$ (where $*$ denotes the lower semicontinuous regularization on X^b) is plurisubharmonic on X^b . Put

$$P_{f,b} := u(\{x \in X^b : (R_{f,b})_*(x) < R_{f,b}(x)\}) \subset D_k.$$

It is known that $P_{f,b}$ is pluripolar (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000], Theorem 2.1.41(b)). Put

$$R_b := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b}, \quad \widehat{R}_b := \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_*.$$

Observe that $-\log(\widehat{R}_b)_*$ is plurisubharmonic on X^b . Put

$$P_b := u(\{x \in X^b : (\widehat{R}_b)_*(x) < \widehat{R}_b(x)\}) \subset D_k.$$

The set P_b is also pluripolar (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000], Theorem 2.1.41(a)). Now let $B \subset D^\ell$ be a dense countable set. Define

$$P := \left(\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{F}, b \in B} P_{f,b} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{b \in B} P_b \right) \subset D_k.$$

Then P is pluripolar.

Take an $a \in D_k \setminus P$ and suppose that X_a is not an $\mathcal{F}_a$ -domain of existence. Then there exist a point $x_0 \in X_a$ and a number $r > d_{X_a}(x_0)$ such that $b := v(x_0) \in B$ and $R_b(x_0) > r$. Since $a \notin P$, we have

$$(\widehat{R}_b)_*(x_0) = \widehat{R}_b(x_0) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_* = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b} = R_b(x_0) > r.$$

In particular, there exists $0 < \varepsilon < d_X(x_0)$ such that $(\widehat{R}_b)_*(x) > r$, $x \in \mathbb{P}_{X^b}(x_0)$. Since,

$$R_b(x) = \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} R_{f,b}(x) \geq \inf_{f \in \mathcal{F}} (R_{f,b})_*(x) = \widehat{R}_b(x) \geq (\widehat{R}_b)_*(x),$$

we conclude that $R_b(x) > r$, $x \in \mathbb{P}_{X^b}(x_0)$. Put $U := \mathbb{P}_X(x_0, \varepsilon)$. Hence, by the classical Hartogs lemma, for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the function $f \circ (p|_U)^{-1}$ extends holomorphically to $V := \mathbb{P}(a, \varepsilon) \times \mathbb{P}(b, r)$. Since (X, p) is an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy, by Remark 1.1(a), there exists a univalent domain $W \subset X$, $U \subset W$, such that $p(W) = V$. In particular, $d_{X_a}(x_0) \geq r$; contradiction.

Step 2. *There exists a pluripolar set $P \subset D_k$ such that for any $a \in D_k \setminus P$ the family $\mathcal{F}_a$ weakly separates points in X_a .*

Take $a \in D_k$, $x', x'' \in X_a$ with $x' \neq x''$ and $p_a(x') = p_a(x'') =: b$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ weakly separates points in X , there exists an $f \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $T_{x'}f \neq T_{x''}f$. Put $r := \min\{d(T_{x'}f), d(T_{x''}f)\}$ and let

$$P_{a,x',x''} := \bigcap_{w \in \mathbb{P}(b,r)} \{z \in \mathbb{P}(a,r) : T_{x'}f(z,w) = T_{x''}f(z,w)\}.$$

Then $P_{a,x',x''} \subsetneq \mathbb{P}(a,r)$ is an analytic subset. For any $z \in \mathbb{P}(a,r) \setminus P_{a,x',x''}$ we have $T_{x'}f(z, \cdot) \neq T_{x''}f(z, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b,r)$.

Take a countable dense set $A \subset D_k$. For any $a \in A$ let $B_a \subset X_a$ be a countable dense subset such that $p_a^{-1}(p_a(B_a)) = B_a$. Then

$$P := \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A, x', x'' \in B_a \\ x' \neq x'', p_a(x') = p_a(x'')}} P_{a,x',x''}$$

is a pluripolar set.

Fix $a_0 \in D_k \setminus P$, $x'_0, x''_0 \in X_{a_0}$, with $x'_0 \neq x''_0$ and $p_{a_0}(x'_0) = p_{a_0}(x''_0) =: b_0$. Put $r := \min\{d_X(x'_0), d_X(x''_0)\}$. Let $a \in A \cap \mathbb{P}(a_0, r/2)$ and $x', x'' \in B_a$ be such that $x' \in \mathbb{P}_X(x'_0, r/2)$, $x'' \in \mathbb{P}_X(x''_0, r/2)$, $p_a(x') = p_a(x'')$. Since $a_0 \notin P$, we conclude that $T_{x'}f(a_0, \cdot) \neq T_{x''}f(a_0, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b_0, r/2)$. Consequently, $T_{x'_0}f(a_0, \cdot) \neq T_{x''_0}f(a_0, \cdot)$ on $\mathbb{P}(b_0, r/2)$, which implies that $T_{x'_0}f_{a_0} \neq T_{x''_0}f_{a_0}$.

(b) Suppose that there exist $x_0 = (a_0, b_0) \in G$ and $r > d_D(x_0) =: r_0$ such that $d(T_{x_0}f) \geq r$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $d(T_{b_0}f_a) \geq r$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $a \in \mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0)$. Consequently, $(\mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0) \setminus S_k) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$. Since $\text{int } S_k = \emptyset$ and D is fat, we conclude that $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r_0) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$. Now, we see that $d(T_{a_0}f^b) \geq r$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $b \in \mathbb{P}(b_0, r)$. Consequently, $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r) \times (\mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \setminus S^\ell) \subset D$. Hence $\mathbb{P}(a_0, r) \times \mathbb{P}(b_0, r) \subset D$; contradiction. $\square$

Remark 2.3. The following natural question arises from the discussion above: is it possible to sharpen Theorem 2.2(a) so that the exceptional set there is even a countable union of locally analytic sets. The following example will show that the answer is, in general, negative.

Let $C_1 \subset \mathbb{D} := (\text{the unit disc})$ be a compact polar set which is uncountable (take, for example, an appropriate Cantor set). Define $C := C_1 \cup C_2$, where $C_2 := \mathbb{D} \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$. Then C is polar and a countable union of compact sets.

Using Example 2 from [Ter 1972], we find a function $f : \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with the following properties

- $f(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$,
- $f(z, \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$, $z \in C$,
- f is unbounded near some point $(z_0, 0) \in \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$.

Using the corollary to Lemma 8 in [Ter 1972], we conclude that there is a non-empty open set $V \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $f|_{\mathbb{D} \times V} \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D} \times V)$. Set $\mathcal{F} := \{f|_{\mathbb{D} \times V}, g|_{\mathbb{D} \times V}\}$,

where $g \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D})$ is chosen such that $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ is the existence domain of g . Denote by (D', p) the $\mathcal{F}$ -envelope of holomorphy of $\mathbb{D} \times V$. Then $p(D') \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. Moreover, using the fact that C is dense in $\mathbb{D}$ one sees that D' is univalent. Indeed, let us take a sequence $G_j = G'_j \times G''_j \subset \mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, of bidiscs, $G_j \cap G_{j+1} \neq \emptyset$, and functions $f_j \in \mathcal{O}(G_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, N$, such that $G_1 \subset \mathbb{D} \times V$, $f_1 = f|_{G_1}$, and $f_j|_{G_j \cap G_{j+1}} = f_{j+1}|_{G_j \cap G_{j+1}}$, $j = 1, \dots, N-1$. We claim that then $f_N = f|_{G_N}$ which implies that D' is univalent. By induction we may assume that $f_j = f|_{G_j}$ for an $j < N$. Then for any point $a \in C \cap G'_j \cap G''_{j+1}$ we have two holomorphic functions $f(a, \cdot)$ and $f_{j+1}(a, \cdot)$ on G''_{j+1} . Both coincide on $G'_j \cap G''_{j+1}$, and so on G''_{j+1} . Now fix a $b \in G''_{j+1}$. Then $f(\cdot, b)$ and $f_{j+1}(\cdot, b)$ are holomorphic in G''_{j+1} and they coincide on $C \cap G'_j \cap G''_{j+1}$; hence they are equal on G''_{j+1} , i.e. $f|_{G_{j+1}} = f_{j+1}$.

Set $D := p(D')$. Then D is an $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ -domain of holomorphy, where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{F}} := \{\widehat{g} := g|_D, \widehat{f} := f|_D\}.$$

Observe that for any $a \in C$, the functions $\widehat{f}(a, \cdot), \widehat{g}(a, \cdot)$ extend to the whole of $\mathbb{D}$.

Fix $R' < R \in (0, 1)$ such that $V \subset \subset \mathbb{P}(0, R')$. Suppose that there is an $a_0 \in C$ with $\{a_0\} \times \mathbb{P}(0, R) \subset D$. Then there is a small open neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{D}$ of a_0 such that $U \times \mathbb{P}(0, R') \subset D$. In virtue of the Hartogs lemma we conclude that f is holomorphic on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{P}(0, R')$, in particular an holomorphic extension of $f|_{\mathbb{D} \times V}$, and therefore bounded near $(z_0, 0)$; a contradiction. Thus, the singular set S_1 for D must contain C .

Remark 2.4. Observe that Theorem 2.2(b) need not be true if D is not fat. For example, let $D := \mathbb{D}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{O}(D)$. By the Hartogs extension theorem, any function from $\mathcal{F}$ extends holomorphically to $\mathbb{D}^2$. Thus D is not an $\mathcal{F}$ -domain of holomorphy. Observe that for any $a \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, $D_a = \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{F}_a = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$. Similarly, for any $b \in \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, $D^b = \mathbb{D}$ and $\mathcal{F}^b = \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{D})$.

3. APPLICATIONS – SEPARATELY HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Directly from Theorem 2.2 we get the following useful corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be a domain, let $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}(D)$ and let $A \subset \text{pr}_{\mathbb{C}^k}(D)$. Assume that for any $a \in A$ we are given a domain $G(a) \supset D_a$ in $\mathbb{C}^\ell$ such that:*

- *for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends to an $\widehat{f}_a \in \mathcal{O}(G(a))$,*
- *the domain $G(a)$ is a $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.*

Let (X, p) be the $\mathcal{F}$ -envelope of holomorphy of D . Then there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset A$ such that for every $a \in A \setminus P$ we have $(X_a, p_a) \simeq (G(a), \text{id}_{G(a)})$.

Recall a version of the cross theorem for separately holomorphic functions with pluripolar singularities (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2003], Main Theorem).

Theorem 3.2. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G \subset \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D$, $B \subset G$ be locally pluriregular sets ⁽¹⁾. Consider the cross*

$$X = \mathbb{X}(A, B; D, G) := (A \times G) \cap (D \times B)$$

⁽¹⁾ A non-empty set A is said to be *locally pluriregular* if for any $a \in A$, the set A is *locally pluriregular at a* , i.e. for any open neighborhood U of a we have $h_{A \cap U, U}^*(a) = 0$, where $h_{A \cap U, U}$ denotes the relative extremal function of $A \cap U$ in U . For arbitrary set A define $A^* := \{a \in \overline{A} : A \text{ is locally pluriregular at } a\}$. It is known that the set $Z := A \setminus A^*$ is pluripolar. In particular, if A is non pluripolar, then $A \setminus Z$ is locally pluriregular.

and let

$$\widehat{X} = \widehat{X}(A, B; D, G) := \{(z, w) \in D \times G : \omega_{A,D}(z) + \omega_{B,G}(w) < 1\},$$

where $\omega_{A,D}$ and $\omega_{B,G}$ are generalized relative extremal functions. Let $M \subset X$ be a relatively closed set such that:

- for every $a \in A$ the fiber $M_a := \{w \in G : (a, w) \in M\}$ is pluripolar,
- for every $b \in B$ the fiber $M^b := \{z \in D : (z, b) \in M\}$ is pluripolar.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_s(X \setminus M)$ denote the set of all functions separately holomorphic on $X \setminus M$, i.e. of those functions $f : X \setminus M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which:

- for every $a \in A$, $f(a, \cdot) \in \mathcal{O}(G \setminus M_a)$,
- for every $b \in B$, $f(\cdot, b) \in \mathcal{O}(D \setminus M^b)$.

Then there exists a relatively closed pluripolar set $S \subset \widehat{X}$ such that:

- $S \cap X \subset M$,
- for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{X} \setminus S)$ with $\widehat{f} = f$ on $X \setminus M$,
- $\widehat{X} \setminus S$ is a $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

In the proof presented in [Jar-Pfl 2003] the assumption that M is relatively closed in X played an important role. Observe that from the point of view of the formulation of the above theorem, we only need to assume that all the fibers M_a and M^b are relatively closed. Corollary 3.1 permits us to clarify this problem in certain cases.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G_0 \subset G \subset \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D$. Assume that for every $a \in A$ we are given a relatively closed pluripolar set $M(a) \subset G$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(D \times G_0)$ such that for every $a \in A$, the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends to an $\widehat{f}_a \in \mathcal{O}(G \setminus M(a))$. Assume that for every $a \in A$ the set $M(a)$ is singular with respect to the family $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ ⁽²⁾. Then there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset A$ such that if we put $A_0 := A \setminus P$, then the set*

$$M(A_0) := \bigcup_{a \in A_0} \{a\} \times M(a)$$

is relatively closed in $A_0 \times G$.

Proof. First observe that every function from $\mathcal{O}(G)$ may be regarded as an element of $\mathcal{F}$, which implies that for every $a \in A$ the domain $G(a) := G \setminus M(a)$ is a $\{\widehat{f}_a : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.

Let (X, p) be the $\mathcal{F}$ -envelope of holomorphy of $D \times G_0$. Since D and G are domains of holomorphy, we may assume that $p(X) \subset D \times G$.

By Corollary 3.1, there exists a pluripolar set $P \subset A$ such that for every $a \in A_0 := A \setminus P$ we have $(X_a, p_a) \simeq (G(a), \text{id}_{G(a)})$. Thus p is injective on the set $B := p^{-1}(A_0 \times G)$ and $p(B) = \bigcup_{a \in A_0} \{a\} \times G(a) = (A_0 \times G) \setminus M(A_0)$. Hence $p(B) = p(X) \cap (A_0 \times G)$ and, consequently, $p(B)$ is relatively open in $A_0 \times G$. $\square$

Consequently, we get the following generalization of Theorem 3.2.

⁽²⁾ Recall that a relatively closed pluripolar set $M \subset G$ is said to be *singular* with respect to a family $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{O}(G)$ if there is no point $a \in M$ which has an open neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that every function from $\mathcal{G}$ extends holomorphically to U (cf. [Jar-Pfl 2000], § 3.4).

Theorem 3.4. *Let $D_0 \subset D \subset \mathbb{C}^k$, $G_0 \subset G \subset \mathbb{C}^\ell$ be domains of holomorphy and let $A \subset D_0$, $B \subset G_0$ be non-pluripolar sets. Let $M \subset X := \mathbb{X}(A, B; D, G)$ be such that:*

- *for every $a \in A$ the fiber M_a is relatively closed pluripolar subset of G ,*
- *for every $b \in B$ the fiber M^b is relatively closed pluripolar subset of D .*

Let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the set of all functions $f \in \mathcal{O}(D_0 \times G_0)$ such that:

- *for every $a \in A$ the function $f(a, \cdot)$ extends holomorphically to $G \setminus M_a$,*
- *for every $b \in A$ the function $f(\cdot, b)$ extends holomorphically to $D \setminus M^b$.*

Then there exist:

- *pluripolar sets $P \subset A$, $Q \subset B$ such that the sets $A_0 := A \setminus P$ and $B_0 := B \setminus Q$ are locally pluriregular,*
- *a relatively closed pluripolar set $S \subset \widehat{X}_0 := \widehat{\mathbb{X}}(A_0, B_0; D, G)$*

such that:

- *$S \cap \mathbb{X}(A_0, B_0; D, G) \subset M$,*
- *for every $f \in \mathcal{F}$ there exists an $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{O}(\widehat{X}_0 \setminus S)$ with $\widehat{f} = f$ on $D_0 \times G_0$,*
- *$\widehat{X}_0 \setminus S$ is a $\{\widehat{f} : f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ -domain of holomorphy.*

Remark 3.5. More general versions of the cross theorem (also for N -fold crosses) will be discussed in our forthcoming paper.

Acknowledgment. The authors like to thank Professor W. Zwonek for helpful remarks.

REFERENCES

- [Jar-Pfl 2000] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *Extension of Holomorphic Functions*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 34, Walter de Gruyter 2000.
- [Jar-Pfl 2003] M. Jarnicki, P. Pflug, *An extension theorem for separately holomorphic functions with pluripolar singularities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 355 (2003), 1251–1267.
- [Ter 1972] T. Terada, *Analyticités relatives à chaque variable*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 12 (1972), 263–296.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REYMONTA 4, 30-059 KRAKÓW, POLAND

E-mail address: Marek.Jarnicki@im.uj.edu.pl

CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, POSTFACH 2503, D-26111 OLDENBURG, GERMANY

E-mail address: pflug@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de